

From: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US
Sent: 4/24/2012 6:15:30 PM
To: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
CC: Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Subject: Re: Fw: Revised Qs/As regarding Dimock Cost estimate

Thanks Cindy, Much appreciated. Its the last item that Dennis needs to respond to HQ on the cost questions.

Tomorrow morning is just fine. Thanks again.

Rich

Richard M. Fetzer
Federal On-Scene Coordinator
100 Gypsum Road
Stroudsburg, PA 18360
(215) 341-6307

From: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US
To: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 04/24/2012 05:24 PM
Subject: Re: Fw: Revised Qs/As regarding Dimock Cost estimate

A correction will be required because I think there are more than 7 methods. I'll try to have this for you first thing in the morning.

Cynthia Caporale, Chief
OASQA Laboratory Branch
U.S. EPA Region III
Environmental Science Center
Fort Meade, MD
(410) 305-2732
Fax: (410) 305-3095

From: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US
To: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 04/24/2012 01:20 PM
Subject: Fw: Revised Qs/As regarding Dimock Cost estimate

Cindy, Can you verify Terry Smith's proposed statement below? If not, pls propose the correct language.

Thanks. Rich

Richard M. Fetzer
Federal On-Scene Coordinator
100 Gypsum Road
Stroudsburg, PA 18360
(215) 341-6307

----- Forwarded by Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US on 04/24/2012 01:19 PM -----

From: Dennis Carney/R3/USEPA/US
To: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Gerald Heston/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 04/24/2012 12:35 PM
Subject: Fw: Revised Qs/As regarding Dimock Cost estimate

Rich, can you have someone verify that we actually did what Terry Smith is proposing I add to the Q/As for the cost estimate. See below. Thanks, den.

----- Forwarded by Dennis Carney/R3/USEPA/US on 04/24/2012 12:33 PM -----

From: Dana Tulis/DC/USEPA/US
To: Dennis Carney/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Cecil Rodrigues/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Gerald Heston/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Gilberto Irizarry/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Heather Gray/R3/USEPA/US, Humane Zia/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Jennie Saxe/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Kathy Hodgkiss/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Kulik/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Ron Borsellino/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Larry Stanton/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 04/24/2012 10:52 AM
Subject: Re: Revised Qs/As regarding Dimock Cost estimate

Dennis, we have only one comment per Terry Smith, to add the statement below as noted in bold. Terry needs you to verify that 7 analytical protocols were used, his point is this is quite complex. The rest looks good, and of course, we have reviewed before. Thank you.

e.g. The sampling effort undertaken by EPA at Dimock was extremely comprehensive and included sampling at 61 homes to date, for approximately 225 analytes (parameters) for each sample, **requiring seven separate analytical protocols and associated analytical instrumentation.**

Dana S. Tulis
Deputy Office Director
Office of Emergency Management
Environmental Protection Agency
202-564-8600

From: Dennis Carney/R3/USEPA/US
To: Jennie Saxe/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Kathy Hodgkiss/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Gerald Heston/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Kulik/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Cecil Rodrigues/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Dana Tulis/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Humane Zia/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Heather Gray/R3/USEPA/US, Ron Borsellino/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Gilberto Irizarry/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 04/23/2012 11:28 AM
Subject: Revised Qs/As regarding Dimock Cost estimate

Thank you everyone for the edits and suggestions regarding Qs/As on the Dimock Cost estimate. I have incorporated everything I received. Shawn suggested one more round of reviews before he and others in senior leadership review. So could you take one more look and provide me with any final comments you have? Note there are a couple of additional Qs since the first draft. Comments by Noon tomorrow at the latest, please. Thanks, dennis.

[attachment "Dimock costs Qs and As 042312 v1.docx" deleted by Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US]